Identifying and Prioritizing Factors Affecting the Ouality of **E-learning of Physical Education Students During the Outbreak** of Covid-19 Pandemic

Leila Moslehi¹ and Ebrahim Alidoust Ghahfarokhi²

Received: February.28.2021 Accepted: October.15.2021

Abstract

The growing demand for E-learning in higher education has made concerns about the quality of distance learning as one of the most important global issues. This is especially true during the outbreak of the Covid-19 pandemic. where E-learning was an important resource for continuing educational activities. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to identify and prioritize the factors affecting the quality of E-learning of physical education students. The present research is applied in terms of purpose, was descriptive in terms of type and was a survey in terms of data collection method. A researchermade questionnaire was used to collect data. The face and content validity of the questionnaire assessed and confirm by instructors aware of the field of research and reliability through Cronbach's alpha test. Confirmatory factor analysis was used to confirm the construct validity of the questionnaire. The statistical population of the study included undergraduate students of the University of Tehran majoring in Physical Education who passed their theoretical and practical courses virtually in the first semester of the 2020-01 academic years. The sample size was estimated to be 248 based on Krejcie and Morgan Table. In order to analyze the data, one sample t test and Friedman test were used. Prioritization of indicators showed that among the five indicators of instructor's characteristics, technical characteristics of the educational system, content, evaluation and feedback, student characteristics and support, instructor's characteristics index is the most important indicator affecting quality of theoretical and practical E-learning courses. This matter indicates that the need for special attention by educational administrators in selecting qualified instructors with skills and experience in the field of E-learning.

_____ 53

^{1.} PhD student, Faculty of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran. Corresponding author: leilamoslehi93@gmail.com

^{2.} Associate Professor in Sport Management, Faculty of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

Quarterly Journal of Research and Planning in Higher Education, 28(4), Winter 2023 _____ 54

Keywords: e-learning, virtual learning, distance learning, physical education, Covid-19.

Introduction

Over the last two decades, several developments and challenges have arisen in the field of higher education. These include the process of globalization, the emergence of a knowledge-centered economy, a rise in the number of students, a decrease in their per capita budget, and increased competition between institutions at both national and international levels, with a focus on the quality of higher education (Hatami, Mohammadi & Eshaghi, 2011). Simultaneously, advancements in technology have revolutionized the delivery of education, leading to the emergence of new forms of learning such as Elearning, online learning, virtual learning, and Internet learning (Ramkumar & Vinayagamoorthy, 2020). However, the outbreak of the COVID-19 virus in September 2019 has further accelerated the adoption of E-learning, as educational institutions have had to adapt to the specific conditions created by the pandemic (Alqahtani & Rajkhan, 2020).

E-learning refers to the utilization of information and communication technologies in the educational process, typically over the Internet, and activities are conducted online, either synchronously or asynchronously by the participants (Dias et al., 2020). While attention to E-learning and its application in education over the past years has mainly focused on theoretical courses, practical courses have not received much attention due to the role of equipment, facilities, and the need for student presence in the field for educational efficiency (Kirbas, 2020). However, with the outbreak of COVID-19 and the obligation of universities to hold all courses, both theoretical and practical, including practical courses in the field of physical education, many universities have started offering distance learning to students. The implementation of online education in practical physical education, as online education in practical courses differs significantly from face-to-face instruction (Goad, Towner, Jones & Bulger, 2019).

Zhu & Wang (2021) argue that online physical education teaching has unique advantages but also obvious disadvantages. As such, special preparation is required for communication and sports practice in online physical education classes, and it is essential to analyze the perceptions of both students and teachers regarding online education in sports activities to ensure educational quality (Jumareng et al., 2021). In a study conducted by Kirbas (2020) on the situation of virtual teaching of practical physical education courses in Turkey during the COVID-19 outbreak, it was found that 79% of academics did not believe practical courses should be taught through distance education, while 52% believed that all theoretical courses could be taught through distance education.

It is important to acknowledge that E-learning is still a new and developing phenomenon in most countries worldwide, and as with any emerging phenomenon, there are doubts and challenges associated with its implementation, despite the benefits and opportunities it provides. Therefore, a precise, systematic, and scientifically based framework is necessary to address the complex problems associated with this type of learning system. An essential aspect is the analysis of the factors that affect the quality of education, through scientific studies, the identification and determination of characteristics and standards, and the implementation of intelligent and realistic policies. The identification of quality from the perspective of endusers is crucial in understanding the quality of the information system, and in virtual learning, the student is the primary user of the learning system. Furthermore, the research background highlights the lack of comprehensive studies in both theoretical and practical courses in the field of E-learning in physical education. Therefore, this study aims to identify the factors that affect the quality of E-learning in both practical and theoretical units and prioritize these factors based on the perspectives of physical education students.

Methodology

The present study employs an applied research method, specifically a descriptive survey approach for data collection. The study's statistical population comprised all undergraduate students in the field of physical education who completed their theoretical and practical courses virtually in the first semester of the academic year 1400-99 at the University of Tehran. The population size was 700 students, and a sample size of 248 respondents was determined using the Krejcie and Morgan Table. Stratified random sampling based on the semester of university entrance was employed as the sampling method. Confirmatory factor analysis was used to evaluate and validate the questionnaire structure with input from physical education faculty members who had experience in virtual teaching of theoretical and practical units. Reliability of the questionnaire was assessed through the application of Cronbach's alpha. The collected data was analyzed using One sample t-test and Friedman test.

Findings

Confirmatory factor analysis was employed to assess the construct validity of the questionnaire. Items with factor loads less than 0.3 were excluded, and the

model was re-run in the software. The final results of the confirmatory factor analysis of the factors influencing the quality of E-learning are presented in Table 1.

Symbol	Component	Factor load						
Student characteristics								
A1	student's ability to use technological tools such as computers and the Internet	0/567						
A2	Positive learner attitude towards E-learning	0/642						
A3	Responsibility and commitment to learning	0/780						
A4	Communication skills in virtual space (oral and written (skills	0/625						
teacher Features								
B1	Skills in teaching in electronic courses	0/721						
B2	Variety in teaching methods in E-learning environment	0/368						
B3	Timely response to learners' questions and needs	0/493						
B4	Scientific level (theoretical courses) and practical skills (practical courses) of the teacher in the desired field and course	0/625						
B5	Instructor communication skills in E-learning	0/554						
Technical features of the educational system								
C1	The degree of interactivity of the system for communication between teacher and student	0/312						
C2	Ease of use of E-learning system	0/428						
C3	Providing multimedia facilities and new technologies in presenting content	0/721						
C4	Providing guidance in different parts of the system	0/329						
C5	Speed of access to the system	0/711						
C6	The attractiveness and beauty of the system environment	0/323						
Educational content								
D1	Logical organization of resources and materials	0/429						
D2	Proportion of content with course objectives in E-learning method	0/614						
D3	High quality content in terms of comprehensiveness and up- to-datedness	0/464						
D4	Proper timing of content and assignments	0/538						
	Evaluation and feedback							
E1	Existence of clear scoring criteria for learners	0/719						
E2	continuous assessment of learning level of learners	0/641						
E3	Design and provide individual and group feedback	0/326						
E4	Variety in assessment methods of students	0/560						
Support								
F1	Continuous evaluation of professors and educational services	0/455						
F2	Providing information and guidance services by the teaching staff of University	0/620						
F3	Providing facilities for access to information resources and databases by University	0/381						
F4	Needs assessment of students in E-learning courses	0/489						

 Table 1 - Classification of components based on the results of factor analysis

In the test model, two components, namely "system security" and "the degree of personalization of the educational system", which belonged to the

technical characteristics of the educational system, were eliminated from the model due to a factor load of less than 0.3. To assess the importance of factors influencing the quality of E-learning, a one-sample t-test was conducted with an average value of 3. The results indicated that the scores in both theoretical and practical courses were significantly higher than the average value. As a result, all components were included in the prioritization process using the Friedman test. The findings of prioritizing the indicators that affect the quality of E-learning from the students' perspective in the theoretical courses section are presented in Table 2.

 Table 2- Results of prioritization of indicators affecting the quality of Elearning in theoretical courses

	0				
Indicator	Average	Rank	X ²	df	sig
Student characteristic	3/15	4	78/459 5	5	0/001
teacher Features	4/13	1			
Technical features of the educational system	4/09	2			
Educational content	3/93	3			
Evaluation and feedback	2/25	5			
Support	2/75	6			

Based on the findings presented in Table 1, the "Teacher Characteristics" indicator ranked first with an average rank of 4.13, while the "Support" indicator ranked last with an average rank of 2.75. Furthermore, the results of prioritizing the indicators that affect the quality of E-learning in the practical courses section are presented in Table 3.

 Table 3- Results of prioritization of indicators affecting the quality of Elearning in practical courses

		0 I										
indicator	Average	Rank	\mathbf{X}^2	df	Sig							
Student characteristics	3/81	3										
teacher Features	4/20	1										
Technical features of the educational system	4/12	2	158/29 5	0/001								
Educational content	3/44	4										
Evaluation and feedback	3/25	5										
Support	2/70	6]									

Conclusion

The rapid advancement of technology has led to an increasing trend of distance education, which provides learning opportunities to students across diverse geographical locations. Consequently, it is imperative for educational administrators to focus on improving the quality of virtual learning courses. The research findings indicate that "teacher characteristics" is the most important indicator that affects the quality of E-learning in both theoretical and practical courses. Moreover, two of the other five indicators, namely "educational content" and "evaluation and feedback", are somewhat related to the teacher's role. For instance, the teacher prepares the educational content, and students are evaluated throughout the semester and at its end by the teacher. These findings underscore the crucial role of instructors in enhancing the quality of E-learning.

Given the significance of teachers' role in E-learning, it is recommended that managers and planners in the field of university education should assess the educational needs of teachers to identify the necessary skills required to enhance the teaching-learning process. The information obtained from the needs assessment process can be utilized to provide in-service courses for faculty members and instructors, or to develop a framework for evaluating them. This proactive approach will enable the educational administrators to improve the skills of teachers in teaching theoretical and practical units in the field of physical education.

References

- 1. Alqahtani, A., & Rajkhan, A. (2020). E-learning critical success factors during the COVID-19 pandemic: A comprehensive analysis of e-learning managerial perspectives. *Education Science*, 10(9), 216.
- 2. Dias, C., André J., dos Santos E., Caldas, H., Brienze, S., Lima A., & Fucuta, P. (2020). Blended learning methods in specialization graduate courses improve the knowledge gain metric. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, 8(3), 1-9.
- Goad, T., Towner, B., Jones, E., & Bulger, S. (2019). Instructional tools for online physical education: Using mobile technologies to enhance learning. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance*, 90(6), 40-47.
- 4. Hatami, J., Mohammadi, R., & Eshaghi, F. (2011). The structural challenge for quality monitoring and evaluation in Iranian higher education. Fifth Conference On Quality Assessment In The University System, Tehran: University of Tehran [in Persian].

- Jumareng, H., Setiawan, E., Abdul Patah, I., Aryani, M., Asmuddin, & Abdul Gani, R. (2021). Online learning and platforms favored in physical education class during COVID-19 era: Exploring student' perceptions. *International Journal of Human Movement and Sports Sciences*, 9(1),11-18.
- 6. Kirbas, S. (2020). The views of physical education and sports teaching instructors on education in the COVID-19 period. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 9(6), 196-205.
- 7. Ramkumar, P., & Vinayagamoorthy, P. (2020). Role of academic libraries in e-learning. *Science, Technology and Development*, 9(2), 1-9.
- 8. Zhu, X., & Wang, H. (2021). Implementation and consideration of online teaching of physical education courses in Chinese universities under the COVID-19 pandemic. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Researc*, 490, 111-115.